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## Overview:

The purpose of this report is to identify a peer county on the state and national levels for Palm Beach County. This study was completed as a result of the June 11, 2012 workshop with the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners and County Administration on performance measures. At the workshop the County Administrator committed to developing performance measures that compared various county services with other counties within Florida. In antic ipation of this approach, the Criminal Justice Commission Executive Director requested that staff prepare a report identifying comparative counties for the Criminal Justice Commission's consideration. The selection of the following counties was based upon key demographic and socioeconomic characteristics with the ultimate goal of using these counties for further comparative analysis. Based upon the indicators selected for this report, Pinellas County, Florida and Cuyahoga, Ohio were identified as the peer counties most comparable to Palm Beach County.

## Methodology:

Several approaches were considered in determining the methodology that would be used for this report. A review of literature indicated that the majority of counties selected peers based upon those similar in population size and proximity. In addition, staff identified tools utilized by the medical community including the Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) and Health Resources County Comparison Tool (HRCCT). Counties are categorized by the CHSI into strata by using factors including the population composition of the county (frontier status, size, and density) and demographics (age and poverty) (CHSI, 2009). CHSI strata are further grouped by the HRCCT into income, minority, and percent of population over 65 years (HRSA, 2000). A similar method was utilized by the Indiana Business Research Center for a benchmarking study prepared for Orange County, Califomia (IBRC, 2011).

The IBRC ranking method was selected to identify peer counties for this a nalysis. Staff determined that this method was an effective tool in making comparisons of counties by standardizing scores. In the Orange County study, counties with a population of $5,000+/$ - the 2000 population of the study county were selected as the initial comparison set (IBRC, 2011). Economic indicators including per capita income and employment in key industries were compared for this analysis. Each indicator was divided by the corresponding value for the study county (IBRC, 2011). "To standardize these values, the absolute value of each county's mark minus one (one represents Orange County) was calculated. Finally, a composite score was created by summing the county's absolute values for each indicator. The lower the composite score, the
more similar the county is to Orange County with regard to these indicators." (IBRC, 2011, pg. 6).

## Peer County Selection Process:

The IBRC method was modified as follows to identify a state and national peer county for Palm Beach County:

1) Six Florida counties were selected as these counties are often compared to Palm Beach County and they are similar in size and close in proximity.
2) The United States Census Bureau's Resident Population Estimates for the 100 Largest U.S. Counties Based on July 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011 chart was used to select ten counties on a national level. Five counties were selected above a nd below Palm Beach County's population.
3) Counties were compared using the U.S. Census Bureau's data from the Resident Population Estimates for the 100 Largest U.S. Counties Based on July 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011, DP-1-Geography-Florida: Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics, and DP03: Selected Economic Characteristics for the following indicators:

- Population size (Total population)
- Median age (Years)
- Population aged 65 years and over (Percent, \%)
- Minority population (Percent, \%)
- Percapita income (\$)
- Poverty Level (Percent, \%below poverty level threshold)

The demographic and socioeconomic indicators above are commonly used in benchmarking and comparative studies, with population size being the key indicator. In tems of population composition, population of residents 65 years and older and minority population were included as Palm Beach County has been a popular retirement destination, and because of its growing minority population, respectively. Per capita income and poverty level were also included as important socioeconomic indicators.
4) Percent of minority population was derived by deducting Non-Hispanic White from 100 percent.
5) The preceding 2010 indicators for each county were divided by the corresponding value for the study county.
6) Values were standardized by subtracting 1 for the study county and converting these figuresto absolute values.
7) Values for each indicator were added to determine a total score for each county.
8) Counties with the lowest total score were selected aspeer counties.

The United States Census Bureau's Resident Population Estimates for the 100 Largest U.S. Counties Based on July 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011 chart was used to select Florida counties for this analysis. In addition to Palm Beach County, seven other Florida Counties (Miami-Dade, Broward, Hillsborough, Orange, Pinellas, Duval, and Lee) were included on the list of most populous counties. Populations for these counties ranged from a high of $2,496,435$ for Miami-Dade County $\# 7$ to a low of 618,754 for Lee County \#97. Palm Beach County ranked \#28 with a population of $1,320,134$. The following six counties listed in ascending order based upon their rank were selected as they are often used in comparisons with Palm Beach County. Lee County was excluded as its population is almost half the size of Palm Beach County.

| County | State | Pank | Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mia mi-Dade | FL | 7 | $2,496,435$ |
| Broward | FL | 18 | $1,748,066$ |
| Palm Beach | FL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 0 , 1 3 4}$ |
| Hillsborough | FL | 30 | $1,229,226$ |
| Orange | FL | 34 | $1,145,956$ |
| Pinellas | FL | 54 | 916,542 |
| Duval | FL | 59 | 864,263 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 100 Largest USCounties based on J uly 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011

In comparison to the other states, Florida had the fourth highest number of counties with eight included on the U.S. Census Bureau's Resident Population Estimates for the 100 Largest U.S. Counties Based on J uly 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to J uly 1, 2011 list. Califomia had the highest number with fifteen of the most populous counties while New York and Texas both had nine counties. Populations ranged from a high of $9,818,605$ for Los Angeles County, Califomia \#1 to a low of 626,667 for Providence County, Rhode Island \#100. Ten counties were selected for comparison on the national level as they are closest in population to Palm Beach County. Counties are listed in a scending order based upon the rank designated by the U.S. Census Bureau report.

| County | State | Rank | Population |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Middlesex | MA | 23 | $1,503,085$ |
| Suffolk | NY | 24 | $1,493,350$ |
| Sacramento | CA | 25 | $1,418,788$ |
| Bronx | NY | 26 | $1,385,108$ |
| Nassau | NY | 27 | $1,339,532$ |
| Palm Beach | FL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 0 , 1 3 4}$ |
| Cuyahoga | OH | 29 | $1,280,122$ |
| Allegheny | PA | 31 | $1,223,348$ |
| Oakland | MI | 32 | $1,202,362$ |
| Franklin | OH | 33 | $1,163,414$ |
| Hennepin | MN | 35 | $1,152,425$ |
| Sons |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 100 Largest US Counties based on July 1, 2011 Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011.

## Peer County Selection - Florida:

The following table lists the six Florida Counties selected for comparison in ascending order by their combined score for each of the indicators. Information is also provided conceming the State of Florida as a reference point. As indicated in the table, Palm Beach County has a signific antly higher percentage of population aged 65 and over at $21.6 \%$ in comparison with the State of Florida at $17.3 \%$. In contrast, Orange County's population for this same demographic is $9.7 \%$. The median age for Palm Beach County is also older at 43.5 years than the state at 40.7 years. In addition, Palm Beach County has a slightly lower minority population of $39.9 \%$ in comparison to $42.1 \%$ for the state.

In terms of economic conditions, Palm Beach County has a higher per capita than the state a nd comparison counties. Palm Beach County's per capita is at $\$ 30,735$ while the state's per capita is $\$ 24,272$. There is almost a $\$ 10,000$ difference between Palm Beach County and Miami-Dade County's per capita of $\$ 20,970$. Palm Beach County also has a lower poverty level with $14.2 \%$ while the state is at $16.5 \%$ Miami-Dade County's poverty level is signific antly higher than Palm Beach County at 20.4\%. Based on the indic a tors selected for this report, Pinellas County was identified as the peer county with the lowest total score.

| Total Score | County | State | Pank | Population | Median Age | Age 65 and over (\%) | Minority Pop. (\%) | Per capita (\$) | Poverty Level (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Palm Beach | FL | 28 | 1,320,134 | 43.5 | 21.6 | 39.9 | 30,735 | 14.2 |
| - | Forida | FL | - | 18,801,310 | 40.7 | 17.3 | 42.1 | 24,272 | 16.5 |
| 0.95 | Pinellas | FL | 54 | 916,542 | 46.3 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 26,926 | 14.0 |
| 1.20 | Hillsborough | FL | 30 | 1,229,226 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 46.3 | 25,169 | 16.6 |
| 1.33 | Broward | FL | 18 | 1,748,066 | 39.7 | 14.3 | 56.5 | 26,373 | 14.6 |
| 1.48 | Duval | FL | 59 | 864,263 | 35.8 | 11.1 | 43.4 | 24,137 | 16.6 |
| 1.65 | Orange | FL | 34 | 1,145,956 | 33.7 | 9.7 | 54.0 | 23,160 | 16.3 |
| 3.23 | MiamiDade | FL | 7 | 2,496,435 | 38.2 | 14.1 | 84.6 | 20,970 | 20.4 |

Source: U. S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census. (2011). DP-1-Geography-Florida: Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics (DEC_10_DP_DPDP1) and American Community Survey (2011) DP03: Selected Economic Characteristics (ACS_10_1YR_DP03).

## Peer County Selection - United States:

The following table lists the ten counties selected on a national basis for comparison with Palm Beach County. Counties are listed in ascending order by their combined score for each of the indicators. Information is also provided for the United States as a reference point. As indicated in the table, Palm Beach County has a signific antly higher percentage of population aged 65 and over at $21.6 \%$ in comparison with the United States at 13.0\%. Franklin, OH has the lowest percentage for the same indic ator at 9.9\%. The median age for Palm Beach County is also higher at 43.5 years than the nation at 37.2 years. Palm Beach County's minority population at $39.9 \%$ is slightly higher than the nation at $36.3 \%$, while Bronx C ounty, NY is signific a ntly higher at $89.1 \%$.

Economic conditions are slightly better for Palm Beach County in comparison to the nation. The per capita is $\$ 30,735$ for Palm Beach County while the nation is at $\$ 26,059$. In contrast, five of the ten comparison counties exceeded Palm Beach County's per capita. Palm Beach County also has a lower poverty level at $14.2 \%$ than the nation at $15.3 \%$ While there is a $16 \%$ difference between the poverty level in Palm Beach County and Bronx County, NY at $30.2 \%$. Based on the indicators selected for this report, Cuyahoga County, OH was identified as the peer county with the lowest combined score.

| Total Score | County | State | Pank | Population | Median Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } 65 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Minority Pop. (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { capita } \end{aligned}$ (\$) | Poverty level (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Palm Beach | RL | 28 | 1,320,134 | 43.5 | 21.6 | 39.9 | 30,735 | 14.2 |
| - | United States | US | - | 308,745,538 | 37.2 | 13.0 | 36.3 | 26,059 | 15.3 |
| 0.87 | Cuyahoga | OH | 29 | 1,280,122 | 40.2 | 15.5 | 38.6 | 24,951 | 17.9 |
| 1.06 | Allegheny | PA | 31 | 1,223,348 | 41.3 | 16.8 | 19.4 | 29,469 | 12.0 |
| 1.21 | Hennepin | MN | 35 | 1,152,425 | 35.9 | 11.4 | 22.9 | 34,322 | 13.8 |
| 1.29 | Oakland | MI | 32 | 1,202,362 | 40.2 | 13.2 | 24.9 | 32,984 | 10.2 |
| 1.38 | Nassau | NY | 27 | 1,339,532 | 41.1 | 15.3 | 34.5 | 39,935 | 5.9 |
| 1.42 | Sacramento | CA | 25 | 1,418,788 | 34.8 | 11.2 | 51.6 | 25,011 | 16.8 |
| 1.54 | Franklin | OH | 33 | 1,163,414 | 33.4 | 9.9 | 32.7 | 25,820 | 18.6 |
| 1.57 | Suffolk | NY | 24 | 1,493,350 | 39.8 | 13.5 | 28.4 | 34,582 | 6.2 |
| 1.76 | Middlesex | MA | 23 | 1,503,085 | 38.5 | 13.1 | 23.5 | 39,194 | 8.1 |
| 3.62 | Bronx | NY | 26 | 1,385,108 | 32.8 | 10.5 | 89.1 | 16,671 | 30.2 |

Source: U. S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census. (2011). DP-1-Geography-Florida: Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics (DEC_10_DP_DPDP1) and American Community Survey (2011) DP03: Selected Economic Characteristics (ACS_10_1YR_DP03).
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